

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: _____
DATE FILED: 11/3/2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CORY HOLLAND SR. aka “KING,”

Plaintiff,

-against-

LIONSGATE ENTERTAINMENT AND
FILMS, et al.,

Defendants.

21 Civ. 2944 (AT)

ORDER

ANALISA TORRES, United States District Judge:

The Court is in receipt of parties’ submissions at ECF Nos. 41, 43, and 48–51.

The Court finds that ECF Nos. 41, 48, and 49 are sur-replies to the motion to dismiss, ECF No. 19. “The S.D.N.Y. local rules do not contemplate the submission of a sur-reply in further opposition to a motion.” *Lazare Kaplan Int’l Inc. v. KBC Bank N.V.*, 337 F. Supp. 3d 274, 288 (S.D.N.Y. 2018), *aff’d*, 785 F. App’x 18 (2d Cir. 2019). Even in *pro se* cases, a Court may strike sur-replies if they are filed without leave of the court. *See Colida v. Nokia Am. Corp.*, No. 05 Civ. 9920, 2006 WL 2597902, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 11, 2006). Plaintiff failed to seek leave to file sur-replies to the motion to dismiss. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s sur-replies, ECF Nos. 41, 48, and 49, shall be struck from the docket.

The Court will not accept any additional submissions related to the motion to dismiss. The Clerk of Court is directed to strike ECF Nos. 41, 48, and 49 from the docket.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 3, 2021
New York, New York



ANALISA TORRES
United States District Judge